Graph Search Algorithms



Graph



A surprisingly large number of computational problems can be expressed as graph problems.

Directed and Undirected Graphs



(a) A directed graph G = (V, E), where V = {1,2,3,4,5,6} and E = {(1,2), (2,2), (2,4), (2,5), (4,1), (4,5), (5,4), (6,3)}. The edge (2,2) is a self-loop.

(b) An undirected graph G = (V,E), where V = {1,2,3,4,5,6} and E = {(1,2), (1,5), (2,5), (3,6)}. The vertex 4 is isolated.

(c) The subgraph of the graph in part (a) induced by the vertex set {1,2,3,6}.

Trees



A tree is a connected, acyclic, undirected graph. A forest is a set of trees (not necessarily connected)

Running Time of Graph Algorithms

• Running time often a function of both |V| and |E|.

 For convenience, drop the |. | in asymptotic notation, e.g. O(V+E).

Representations: Undirected Graphs



Representations: Directed Graphs



Breadth-First Search

- Goal: To recover the shortest paths from a source node s to all other reachable nodes v in a graph.
 - The length of each path and the paths themselves are returned.
- Notes:
 - There are an exponential number of possible paths
 - This problem is harder for general graphs than trees because of cycles!



Breadth-First Search

Input: Graph G = (V, E) (directed or undirected) and source vertex $s \in V$.

Output:

d[v] = shortest path distance $\delta(s,v)$ from s to v, $\forall v \in V$.

 $\pi[v] = u$ such that (u, v) is last edge on a shortest path from s to v.

- Idea: send out search 'wave' from s.
- Keep track of progress by colouring vertices:
 - Undiscovered vertices are coloured black
 - Just discovered vertices (on the wavefront) are coloured red.
 - Previously discovered vertices (behind wavefront) are coloured grey.









































Breadth-First Search Algorithm

```
BFS(G, s)
      for each vertex u \in V[G] - \{s\}
  1
  2
            do color[u] \leftarrow BLACK
  3
                 d[u] \leftarrow \infty
  4
                 \pi[u] \leftarrow \text{NIL}
  5 color[s] \leftarrow RED
  6 d[s] \leftarrow 0
      \pi[s] \leftarrow \text{NIL}
 7
 8 Q \leftarrow \emptyset
      ENQUEUE(Q, s)
 9
      while Q \neq \emptyset
10
11
            do u \leftarrow \text{DEQUEUE}(Q)
12
                for each v \in Adj[u]
13
                      do if color[v] = BLACK
14
                             then color[v] \leftarrow RED
15
                                    d[v] \leftarrow d[u] + 1
16
                                    \pi[v] \leftarrow u
17
                                    ENQUEUE(Q, v)
18
                color[u] \leftarrow GRAY
```

- Q is a FIFO queue.
- Each vertex assigned finite *d* value at most once.
- Q contains vertices with d values {*i*, ..., *i*, *i*+1, ..., *i*+1}
- d values assigned are monotonically increasing over time.

Breadth-First-Search is Greedy

- Vertices are handled:
 - in order of their discovery (FIFO queue)
 - Smallest d values first

Correctness

Basic Steps:

The shortest path to u& there is an edgehas length dfrom u to v

There is a path to v with length d+1.

Correctness

- Vertices are discovered in order of their distance from the source vertex *s*.
- When we discover *v*, how do we know there is not a shorter path to *v*?
 - Because if there was, we would already have discovered it!



Correctness

Input: Graph G = (V, E) (directed or undirected) and source vertex $s \in V$.

Output:

 $d[v] = \text{distance from } s \text{ to } v, \forall v \in V.$

 $\pi[v] = u$ such that (u, v) is last edge on shortest path from s to v.

Two-step proof:

On exit:

1. $d[v] \ge \delta(s, v) \forall v \in V$

2. $d[v] \neq \delta(s, v) \forall v \in V$

Claim 1. *d* is never too small: $d[v] \ge \delta(s, v) \forall v \in V$ Proof: There exists a path from *s* to *v* of length d[v].

By Induction:

Suppose it is true for all vertices thus far discovered (red and grey). *v* is discovered from some adjacent vertex *u* being handled.

$$\rightarrow d[v] = d[u] + 1 \geq \delta(s, u) + 1 \geq \delta(s, v)$$

since each vertex *v* is assigned a *d* value exactly once, it follows that on exit, $d[v] \ge \delta(s, v) \forall v \in V$.

Claim 1. *d* is never too small: $d[v] \ge \delta(s, v) \forall v \in V$ Proof: There exists a path from *s* to *v* of length d[v].

BFS(G, s)

```
for each vertex u \in V[G] - \{s\}
  1
  2
            do color[u] \leftarrow BLACK
  3
                d[u] \leftarrow \infty
                                                                S
  4
                \pi[u] \leftarrow \text{NIL}
  5 color[s] \leftarrow RED
 6 d[s] \leftarrow 0
     \pi[s] \leftarrow \text{NIL}
 7
 8 Q \leftarrow \emptyset
      ENQUEUE(Q, s)
 9
      while Q \neq \emptyset
                       ← <LI>: d[v] \ge \delta(s,v) \forall 'discovered' (red or grey) v \in V
10
11
            do u \leftarrow \text{DEQUEUE}(O)
12
                for each v \in Adj[u]
13
                     do if color[v] = BLACK
14
                            then color[v] \leftarrow RED
15
                                  d[v] \leftarrow d[u] + 1 \ge \delta(s, u) + 1 \ge \delta(s, v)
16
                                  \pi[v] \leftarrow u
17
                                  ENQUEUE(Q, v)
18
               color[u] \leftarrow GRAY
```
Claim 2. *d* is never too big: $d[v] \le \delta(s,v) \forall v \in V$

Proof by contradiction:

Suppose one or more vertices receive a *d* value greater than δ .

Let **v** be the vertex with minimum $\delta(s, v)$ that receives such a *d* value.

Suppose that v is discovered and assigned this d value when vertex x is dequeued. Let u be v's predecessor on a shortest path from s to v.

Then

 $\delta(s, \mathbf{v}) < d[\mathbf{v}]$ $\rightarrow \delta(s, \mathbf{v}) - 1 < d[\mathbf{v}] - 1$ $\rightarrow d[u] < d[x]$



Recall: vertices are dequeued in increasing order of *d* value.

 \rightarrow u was dequeued before x.

 $\rightarrow d[v] = d[u] + 1 = \delta(s, v)$ **Contradiction!**

Correctness

Claim 1. *d* is never too small: $d[v] \ge \delta(s,v) \forall v \in V$ Claim 2. *d* is never too big: $d[v] \le \delta(s,v) \forall v \in V$

 \Rightarrow *d* is just right: $d[v] = \delta(s, v) \forall v \in V$

Progress?

• On every iteration one vertex is processed (turns gray).

```
BFS(G, s)
      for each vertex u \in V[G] - \{s\}
  1
  2
            do color[u] \leftarrow BLACK
  3
                d[u] \leftarrow \infty
  4
                \pi[u] \leftarrow \text{NIL}
 5 color[s] \leftarrow RED
 6 d[s] \leftarrow 0
 7 \pi[s] \leftarrow \text{NIL}
 8 Q \leftarrow \emptyset
 9
     ENQUEUE(Q, s)
10
     while Q \neq \emptyset
11
            do u \leftarrow \text{DEQUEUE}(Q)
                for each v \in Adj[u]
12
13
                      do if color[v] = BLACK
14
                             then color[v] \leftarrow RED
15
                                   d[v] \leftarrow d[u] + 1
16
                                   \pi[v] \leftarrow u
17
                                   ENQUEUE(Q, v)
18
                color[u] \leftarrow GRAY
```

Running Time

Each vertex is enqueued at most once $\rightarrow O(V)$

Each entry in the adjacency lists is scanned at most once $\rightarrow O(E)$

Thus run time is O(V + E).

BFS(G, s)

```
1 for each vertex u \in V[G] - \{s\}
            do color[u] \leftarrow BLACK
 2
  3
                d[u] \leftarrow \infty
               \pi[u] \leftarrow \text{NIL}
 4
 5 color[s] \leftarrow RED
 6 d[s] \leftarrow 0
 7 \pi[s] \leftarrow \text{NIL}
 8 Q \leftarrow \emptyset
 9 ENQUEUE(Q, s)
10 while Q \neq \emptyset
11
            do u \leftarrow \text{DEQUEUE}(Q)
12
                for each v \in Adj[u]
13
                     do if color[v] = BLACK
14
                            then color[v] \leftarrow \mathbf{RED}
15
                                  d[v] \leftarrow d[u] + 1
16
                                  \pi[v] \leftarrow u
17
                                  ENQUEUE(Q, v)
               color[u] \leftarrow GRAY
18
```

Optimal Substructure Property

- The shortest path problem has the optimal substructure property:
 - Every subpath of a shortest path is a shortest path.



- The optimal substructure property
 - is a hallmark of both greedy and dynamic programming algorithms.
 - allows us to compute both shortest path distance and the shortest paths themselves by storing only one *d* value and one predecessor value per vertex.



Recovering the Shortest Path

```
PRINT-PATH(G, s, v)
Precondition: s and v are vertices of graph G
Postcondition: the vertices on the shortest path from s to v have been printed in order
if V = S then
                                                        s = \pi(\pi(\pi(\pi(v))))
   print s
else if \pi[\nu] = \text{NIL} then
   print "no path from" s "to" v "exists"
                                                                    \pi(\pi(\pi(v)))
else
   PRINT-PATH(G, s, \pi[v])
   print v
                                                                   \pi(\pi(\mathbf{v}))
                                                                       π(v)
```

Colours are actually not required

```
BFS(V, E, s)
for each u \in V - \{s\}
     do d[u] \leftarrow \infty
d[s] \leftarrow 0
Q \leftarrow \emptyset
ENQUEUE(Q, s)
while Q \neq \emptyset
     do u \leftarrow \text{DEQUEUE}(Q)
         for each v \in Adj[u]
               do if d[v] = \infty
                      then d[v] \leftarrow d[u] + 1
                             ENQUEUE(Q, v)
```

Depth First Search (DFS)

- Idea:
 - Continue searching "deeper" into the graph, until we get stuck.
 - If all the edges leaving v have been explored we "backtrack" to the vertex from which v was discovered.
- Does not recover shortest paths, but can be useful for extracting other properties of graph, e.g.,
 - Topological sorts
 - Detection of cycles
 - Extraction of strongly connected components

Depth-First Search

Input: Graph G = (V, E) (directed or undirected)

Output: 2 timestamps on each vertex: d[v] = discovery time. f[v] = finishing time. $1 \le d[v] < f[v] \le 2|V|$

- Explore *every* edge, starting from different vertices if necessary.
- As soon as vertex discovered, explore from it.
- Keep track of progress by colouring vertices:
 - Black: undiscovered vertices
 - Red: discovered, but not finished (still exploring from it)
 - Gray: finished (found everything reachable from it).
























































































Classification of Edges in DFS

- 1. Tree edges are edges in the depth-first forest G_{π} . Edge (u, v) is a tree edge if v was first discovered by exploring edge (u, v).
- 2. Back edges are those edges (*u*, *v*) connecting a vertex *u* to an ancestor *v* in a depth-first tree.
- **3.** Forward edges are non-tree edges (*u*, *v*) connecting a vertex *u* to a descendant *v* in a depth-first tree.
- 4. Cross edges are all other edges. They can go between vertices in the same depth-first tree, as long as one vertex is not an ancestor of the other.



Classification of Edges in DFS

- **1.** Tree edges: Edge (u, v) is a tree edge if v was black when (u, v) traversed.
- 2. Back edges: (u, v) is a back edge if v was red when (u, v) traversed.
- 3. Forward edges: (u, v) is a forward edge if v was gray when (u, v) traversed and d[v] > d[u].
- Cross edges (u,v) is a cross edge if v was gray when (u, v) traversed and d [v] < d[u].

Classifying edges can help to identify properties of the graph, e.g., a graph is acyclic iff DFS yields no back edges.



Undirected Graphs

- In a depth-first search of an *undirected* graph, every edge is either a tree edge or a back edge.
- Why?

Undirected Graphs

- Suppose that (u,v) is a forward edge or a cross edge in a DFS of an undirected graph.
- (u,v) is a forward edge or a cross edge when v is already handled (grey) when accessed from u.
- This means that all vertices reachable from **v** have been explored.
- Since we are currently handling **u**, **u** must be **red**.
- Clearly v is reachable from u.
- Since the graph is undirected, u must also be reachable from v.
- Thus u must already have been handled: u must be grey.
- Contradiction!



Depth-First Search Algorithm

DFS(G)

for each vertex $u \in V[G]$ 1

```
2
          do color[u] \leftarrow BLACK
```

```
3
                        \pi[u] \leftarrow \text{NIL}
```

```
4 time \leftarrow 0
```

```
5
  for each vertex u \in V[G]
```

```
6
       do if color[u] = BLACK
7
```

```
then DFS-VISIT(u)
```

DFS-Visit (u)

Precondition: vertex *u* is undiscovered

Postcondition: all vertices reachable from u have been processed

```
color[u] \leftarrow RED \trianglerightBLACK vertex u has just been discovered.
1
2
   time \leftarrow time +1
3 d[u] \leftarrow time
   for each v \in Adj[u] \triangleright Explore edge (u, v).
4
5
          do if color[v] = BLACK
6
                then \pi[v] \leftarrow u
7
                      DFS-VISIT(v)
   color[u] \leftarrow GRAY \triangleright GRAY u; it is finished.
8
9
    f[u] \leftarrow time \leftarrow time +1
```

Depth-First Search Algorithm

total work = $\theta(V)$

Thus running time = $\theta(V + E)$

DFS(G)

```
1 for each vertex u \in V[G]

2 do color[u] \leftarrow BLACK

3 \pi[u] \leftarrow NIL

4 time \leftarrow 0

5 for each vertex u \in V[G]

6 do if color[u] = BLACK

7 then DFS-VISIT(u)
```

DFS-Visit (u)

```
Precondition: vertex u is undiscovered
```

Postcondition: all vertices reachable from u have been processed

```
1
   color[u] \leftarrow RED
                                   \trianglerightBLACK vertex u has just been discovered.
2
   time \leftarrow time +1
3
   d[u] \leftarrow time
    for each v \in Adj[u] \triangleright Explore edge (u, v).
4
5
          do if color[v] = BLACK
                                                            total work = \sum_{i=1}^{n} |Adj[v]| = \theta(E)
6
                 then \pi[v] \leftarrow u
7
                       DFS-VISIT(v)
8
   color[u] \leftarrow GRAY \triangleright GRAY u; it is finished.
9
    f[u] \leftarrow time \leftarrow time +1
```

Topological Sorting (e.g., putting tasks in linear order)

An application of Depth-First Search







Too many video games?



Precondition:

A Directed Acyclic Graph (DAG)

Post Condition: Find one valid linear order

Algorithm:

•Find a terminal node (sink)

•Put it last in sequence.

•Delete from graph & repeat

We can do better!







1,f



g,1,f



e,g,l,f



d,e,g,l,f



d,e,g,l,f



k,d,e,g,l,f


Linear Order:



Linear Order: i,j,k,d,e,g,l,f



Linear Order: i,j,k,d,e,g,l,f



Linear Order: c,i,j,k,d,e,g,l,f



Linear Order: b,c,i,j,k,d,e,g,l,f



Linear Order: b,c,i,j,k,d,e,g,l,f



Linear Order: h,b,c,i,j,k,d,e,g,l,f



Linear Order: a,h,b,c,i,j,k,d,e,g,l,f **Done!**

Linear Order

Proof: Consider each edge
Case 1: u goes on stack first before v.
Because of edge, v goes on before u comes off
v comes off before u comes off
v goes after u in order. ^(C)

Found Not Handled Stack V u



U...**V**...

Linear Order

Proof: Consider each edge
Case 1: u goes on stack first before v.
Case 2: v goes on stack first before u. v comes off before u goes on.
v goes after u in order. ☺





U...V...

Linear Order

Proof: Consider each edge •Case 1: u goes on stack first before v. •Case 2: v goes on stack first before u. v comes off before u goes on. Case 3: v goes on stack first before u. u goes on before v comes off. •Panic: u goes after v in order. 🟵 •Cycle means linear order is impossible 🙂



The nodes in the stack form a path starting at s.





Linear Order: a,h,b,c,i,j,k,d,e,g,l,f **Done!**

Shortest Paths Revisited

Back to Shortest Path

- BFS finds the shortest paths from a source node s to every vertex v in the graph.
- Here, the **length** of a path is simply the number of edges on the path.
- But what if edges have different 'costs'?



Single-Source (Weighted) Shortest Paths

The Problem

- What is the shortest driving route from Toronto to Ottawa? (e.g. MAPQuest, Google Maps)
- Input:

Directed Graph G = (V, E)Edge weights $w : E \rightarrow ^{\circ}$

Weight of path
$$p = \langle v_0, v_1, ..., v_k \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^k w(v_{i-1}, v_i)$$

Shortest-path weight from *u* to *v*:

$$\delta(u,v) = \begin{cases} \min\{w(p): u \to \overset{p}{\sqcup} \to v\} & \text{if } \exists a \text{ path } u \to \bot \to v, \\ \infty & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Shortest path from u to v is any path p such that $w(p) = \delta(u, v)$.



Single-source shortest path search induces a search tree rooted at *s*. This tree, and hence the paths themselves, are not necessarily unique.

Shortest path variants

- Single-source shortest-paths problem: the shortest path from *s* to each vertex *v*. (e.g. BFS)
- Single-destination shortest-paths problem: Find a shortest path to a given *destination* vertex *t* from each vertex *v*.
- **Single-pair shortest-path problem:** Find a shortest path from *u* to *v* for given vertices *u* and *v*.
- All-pairs shortest-paths problem: Find a shortest path from *u* to *v* for every pair of vertices *u* and *v*.

Negative-weight edges

- OK, as long as no negative-weight cycles are reachable from the source.
 - If we have a negative-weight cycle, we can just keep going around it, and get w(s, v) = -∞ for all v on the cycle.
 - But OK if the negative-weight cycle is not reachable from the source.
 - Some algorithms work only if there are no negative-weight edges in the graph.



Optimal substructure

- Lemma: Any subpath of a shortest path is a shortest path
- Proof: Cut and paste.

Suppose this path p is a shortest path from u to v. $u \xrightarrow{p_{xy}} y \xrightarrow{p_{yy}} v$ Then $\delta(u,v) = w(p) = w(p_{ux}) + w(p_{xy}) + w(p_{yv})$. Now suppose there exists a shorter path $x \rightarrow \overset{p'_{xy}}{L} \rightarrow y$. Then $w(p'_{xy}) < w(p_{xy})$. Construct p': $u \xrightarrow{p'_{xy}} y \xrightarrow{p'_{yy}} v$

Then $w(p') = w(p_{ux}) + w(p'_{xy}) + w(p_{yy}) < w(p_{ux}) + w(p_{xy}) + w(p_{yy}) = w(p).$

So p wasn't a shortest path after all!

Cycles

- Shortest paths can't contain cycles:
 - Already ruled out negative-weight cycles.
 - Positive-weight: we can get a shorter path by omitting the cycle.
 - Zero-weight: no reason to use them → assume that our solutions won't use them.

Output of a single-source shortest-path algorithm

- For each vertex v in V:
 - $d[v] = \delta(s, v).$
 - Initially, d[v]=∞.
 - Reduce as algorithm progresses.
 But always maintain d[v] ≥ δ(s, v).
 - Call d[v] a shortest-path estimate.
 - $-\pi[v]$ = predecessor of v on a shortest path from s.
 - If no predecessor, $\pi[v] = NIL$.
 - π induces a tree shortest-path tree.

Initialization

• All shortest-paths algorithms start with the same initialization:

```
INIT-SINGLE-SOURCE(V, s)
for each v in V
do d[v] \leftarrow \infty
\pi[v] \leftarrow NIL
d[s] \leftarrow 0
```

Relaxing an edge

 Can we improve shortest-path estimate for v by going through u and taking (u,v)?

```
\begin{aligned} \mathsf{RELAX}(\mathsf{u},\,\mathsf{v},\mathsf{w}) \\ & \text{ if } \mathsf{d}[\mathsf{v}] > \mathsf{d}[\mathsf{u}] + \mathsf{w}(\mathsf{u},\,\mathsf{v}) \text{ then } \\ & \mathsf{d}[\mathsf{v}] \leftarrow \mathsf{d}[\mathsf{u}] + \mathsf{w}(\mathsf{u},\,\mathsf{v}) \\ & \pi[\mathsf{v}] \leftarrow \mathsf{u} \end{aligned}
```





General single-source shortest-path strategy

- 1. Start by calling INIT-SINGLE-SOURCE
- 2. Relax Edges

Algorithms differ in the order in which edges are taken and

how many times each edge is relaxed.

Example: Single-source shortest paths in a directed acyclic graph (DAG)

• Since graph is a DAG, we are guaranteed no negative-weight cycles.



Algorithm

DAG-SHORTEST-PATHS (G, w, s)

- 1 topologically sort the vertices of G
- 2 INITIALIZE-SINGLE-SOURCE(G, s)
- **for** each vertex u, taken in topologically sorted order **do for** each vertex $v \in Adj[u]$ **do** RELAX(u, v, w)

Time: $\Theta(V + E)$













Correctness: Path relaxation property (Lemma 24.15)

Let $p = \langle v_0, v_1, \ldots, v_k \rangle$ be a shortest path from $s = v_0$ to v_k . If we relax, in order, (v_0, v_1) , (v_1, v_2) , ..., (v_{k-1}, v_k) , even intermixed with other relaxations, then $d[v_k] = \delta(s, v_k)$.

Correctness of DAG Shortest Path Algorithm

- Because we process vertices in topologically sorted order, edges of *any* path are relaxed in order of appearance in the path.
 - \rightarrow Edges on any shortest path are relaxed in order.
 - \rightarrow By path-relaxation property, correct.

Example: Dijkstra's algorithm

- Applies to general weighted directed graph (may contain cycles).
- But weights must be non-negative.
- Essentially a weighted version of BFS.
 - Instead of a FIFO queue, uses a priority queue.
 - Keys are shortest-path weights (d[v]).
- Maintain 2 sets of vertices:
 - S = vertices whose final shortest-path weights are determined.
 - Q = priority queue = V-S.
Dijkstra's algorithm

DIJKSTRA(G, w, s) 1 INITIALIZE-SINGLE-SOURCE(G, s) 2 $S \leftarrow \emptyset$ 3 $Q \leftarrow V[G]$ 4 while $Q \neq \emptyset$ 5 do $u \leftarrow \text{EXTRACT-MIN}(Q)$ 6 $S \leftarrow S \cup \{u\}$ 7 for each vertex $v \in Adj[u]$ 8 do RELAX(u, v, w)

 Dijkstra's algorithm can be viewed as greedy, since it always chooses the "lightest" vertex in V – S to add to S.

Dijkstra's algorithm: Analysis

Analysis:

Using minheap, queue operations takes O(logV) time

```
DIJKSTRA(G, w, s)
    INITIALIZE-SINGLE-SOURCE (G, s) O(V)
1
2 S \leftarrow \emptyset
3 Q \leftarrow V[G]
4
    while Q \neq \emptyset
5
          do u \leftarrow \text{EXTRACT-MIN}(Q)
                                                  O(\log V) \times O(V) iterations
6
              S \leftarrow S \cup \{u\}
7
              for each vertex v \in Adj[u]
8
                   do RELAX(u, v, w)
                                                  O(\log V) \times O(E) iterations
```

```
\rightarrow Running Time is O(E \log V)
```

Key: White ⇔ Not Found Grey ⇔ Handling Black ⇔ Handled













Correctness of Dijkstra's algorithm



• Loop invariant: $d[v] = \delta(s, v)$ for all v in S.

- Initialization: Initially, S is empty, so trivially true.
- Termination: At end, Q is empty $\rightarrow S = V \rightarrow d[v] = \delta(s, v)$ for all v in V.
- Maintenance:
 - Need to show that
 - $d[u] = \delta(s, u)$ when u is added to S in each iteration.
 - d[u] does not change once u is added to S.

Correctness of Dijkstra's Algorithm: Upper Bound Property

- Upper Bound Property:
 - 1. $d[v] \ge \delta(s, v) \forall v \in V$
 - 2. Once $d[v] = \delta(s, v)$, it doesn't change
 - Proof:

By induction.

```
Base Case: d[v] \ge \delta(s, v) \forall v \in V immediately after initialization, since

d[s] = 0 = \delta(s, s)

d[v] = \infty \forall v \neq s
```

Inductive Step:

Suppose $d[x] \ge \delta(s, x) \forall x \in V$

Suppose we relax edge (u, v).

```
If d[v] changes, then d[v] = d[u] + w(u, v)
```

```
\geq \delta(S, U) + W(U, V)\geq \delta(S, V)
```

Correctness of Dijkstra's Algorithm

Claim: When *u* is added to *S*, $d[u] = \delta(s, u)$

Proof by Contradiction: Let *u* be the first vertex added to *S* such that $d[u] \neq \delta(s, u)$ when *u* is added.

Let *y* be first vertex in V - S on shortest path to *u* Let *x* be the predecessor of *y* on the shortest path to *u*

```
Claim: d[y] = \delta(s, y) when u is added to S.
```

```
Proof:
```

 $d[x] = \delta(s, x)$, since $x \in S$.

(x, y) was relaxed when x was added to $S \rightarrow d[y] = \delta(s, x) + w(x, y) = \delta(s, y)$



Correctness of Dijkstra's Algorithm

Thus $d[y] = \delta(s, y)$ when *u* is added to *S*. DIJKSTRA(G, w, s)INITIALIZE-SINGLE-SOURCE(G, s) $\rightarrow d[y] = \delta(s, y) \le \delta(s, u) \le d[u]$ (upper bound property) 2 $S \leftarrow \emptyset$ But $d[u] \le d[y]$ when u added to S $Q \leftarrow V[G]$ while $Q \neq \emptyset$ **do** $u \leftarrow \text{EXTRACT-MIN}(Q)$ 5 Thus $d[y] = \delta(s, y) = \delta(s, u) = d[u]!$ $S \leftarrow S \cup \{u\}$ 6 7 for each vertex $v \in Adj[u]$ Thus when *u* is added to *S*, $d[u] = \delta(s, u)$ 8 do RELAX(u, v, w)

Consequences:

There is a shortest path to *u* such that the predecessor of $u \pi[u] \in S$ when *u* is added to *S*. The path through *y* can only be a shortest path if $w[p_2] = 0$.



Correctness of Dijkstra's algorithm

DIJKSTRA(G, w, s)INITIALIZE-SINGLE-SOURCE(G, s) 2 $S \leftarrow \emptyset$ 3 $Q \leftarrow V[G]$ 4 while $Q \neq \emptyset$ 5 **do** $u \leftarrow \text{EXTRACT-MIN}(Q)$ 6 $S \leftarrow S \cup \{u\}$ Relax(u,v,w) can only decrease d[v]. 7 for each vertex $v \in Adj[u]$ By the upper bound property, $d[v] \ge \delta(s, v)$. 8 \mathbf{C} do RELAX(u, v, w)Thus once $d[v] = \delta(s, v)$, it will not be changed.

• **Loop invariant:** $d[v] = \delta(s, v)$ for all v in S.

- Maintenance:
 - Need to show that

